

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,377	01/22/2004	W. Thomas Novak	NIKOP037/PA0502 00/04683	1500	
22434	7590 03/18/2005		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, HUNG	
OAKLAND,	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			_ 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,377	NOVAK, W. THOMAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung Henry V. Nguyen	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI ute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status	,		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	January 2004.		
·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits i	S
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	re: a) accepted or b) obseted or b) obseted and abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Theories Co	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/05 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/2004</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because they are informal drawings and only acceptable for examination. Formal drawings are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 4, the recitation of "wherein the first reference beam...such that...without the roll and pitch of the wafer stage substantially influencing the computation" is vague and indefinite. It is not understood how the interferometer is configured so that the computation device can compute the height of the wafer stage as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loopstra et al (U.S.Pat. 6,020,964).

As to claims 1, 8, 9, 17-21, Loopstra et al discloses a lithography system and corresponding method for projecting a pattern on wafer by a projection beam preliminarily determining a surface profile of the wafer on a stage and subsequently introducing the stage with the wafer into the projection beam, the lithographic system comprising all basic features of the instant claims: an illumination source (LA); an optical system (LS); a reticle stage (MA) for holding a reticle (MA); a wafer stage (WT) having a wafer chuck (WC) for holding a wafer (W); the wafer stage having a first mirror (159) and a second mirror (165) located at specified positions on the wafer stage with respect to the wafer chuck (see figures 6-7); an interferometer system (100) positioned on one side of the wafer stage and configured to generate and reflect at least two measuring beams off the first and second mirrors on the wafer stage respectively and a computation device configured to measure the height of the wafer on the wafer chuck on height information received from the reflected two measuring beams (MAX7, MAX8).

As to claim 2-4, 10-12, Loopstra et al discloses (figure 6) the interferometer is configured to generate the first and second reference beams (bz1r, bz2r) and to cause the first and second reference beams to be reflected off the wafer stage such that two height measurement data points are optically generated by combining the first and second reference reflected beam and the second reference reflected beams from the first and second reflected beams respectively whereby the height of the wafer is computed via the computing device (see col.16, lines 7-23).

As to claims 5-6, and 13-14, Loopstra further discloses that the mirror (159) is positioned on a first side of the wafer stage adjacent the interferometer and the second mirror

Art Unit: 2851

(165) is located on a second side opposite the first side on the wafer stage (see figure 6 for example) and the first and second mirrors are positioned at 45 degree angle with respect to the two measuring beams respectively.

As to claims 7 and 15, Loopstra et al discloses the two height measurement data points are optically generated by subtracting the first and second reference reflected beams from the first and second measurement beams respectively (see col.15, lines 20-35).

Prior Art Made of Record

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamasaki et al (U.S. Pat. 6,122,036) and Ukaji (U.S.Pat. 6,285,457) discloses apparatuses for detecting the position of the wafer mounted on the wafer stage and have been cited for technical background.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung Henry V Nguyen

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

hvn 3/14/05